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Abstract

This work was designed to investigate some aspects of the ocular and orbital morphometric characteristics of the African giant rat (*Cricetomys gambianus*, Waterhouse). 16 African giant rats consisting of 8 males and 8 female rats were euthanized; the eyeballs were enucleated and their skulls macerated to give 18 morphometric parameters. Student t-test and Pearson’s correlation studies were used to analyze the obtained values. Correlation studies showed significance in the left orbital height as correlated against the right orbital index. The eyeball weight for right and left eyeballs were 0.16 g and 0.15 g. The mean eyeball circumference (antero-posterior and medio-lateral) measurements were 2.23 ± 0.01 cm and 2.02 ± 0.06 cm for the left and 2.06 ± 0.04 cm and 2.13 ± 0.03 cm for the right eyeball respectively. The mean right orbital length, right orbital height, left orbital height and the intercanthi width were 2.09 ± 0.02 cm, 1.21 ± 0.03 cm, 1.23 ± 0.03 cm and 0.93 ± 0.03 cm respectively but were all statistically higher (p< 0.05) in males than females exhibiting sexual dimorphism.
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Introduction

African giant rats belong to the family Nesomyidae and order Rodentia. They are nocturnal rodents, omnivorous and feed on vegetation and invertebrates such as insects. They live in a variety of habitats ranging from arid to temperate areas (Ajayi, 1977). They have been reported to have olfactory powers utilized in the sniffing out of landmines and diagnosis of tuberculosis (Lindow, 2001). Information on the macro-anatomical features of the orbit and eyes of the African giant rat to the
best of our knowledge is nonexistent in the literature. There are many macro-anatomical investigations on organs and systems of rodents, including the rabbit, the guinea pig, the rat (Özkan et al., 1997), the mink (Dursun and Tipirdamaz, 1989), the badger (Hidaka et al., 1998; Dinç, 2001) the porcupine (Yılmaz, 1998), the hedgehog (Özkan, 2005), the mole rat (Özkan et al., 2007). There has also been a recent increase in the contributions to the literature on the macro anatomy of African giant rats (Olude, 2009; Olude et al 2009; Olude and Olopade, 2010; Onyeanusi et al., 2009; Ibe et al 2010a; b). The aim of the present study was to investigate the orbital and ocular morphometry in the African giant rats in an attempt to contribute to information in this emerging field of the anatomical studies of this wild rodent.

Materials and methods

Sixteen adult African giant rats (8 male, 8 females) with an average body mass of 730.00 ± 41.91 gm were used for this study. Eyeballs were preserved briefly in 0.9% saline after removal based on the enucleation techniques of Keller (1975) and Olopade et al (2005). The eyeballs were measured with threads soaked in normal saline, measured on linear rule and weighed on a Mettler® analytical balance. The methods of skull maceration and indices for orbitofacial measurements were adapted from the works of (Onar 1999; Onar et al., 2001; Endo et al., 2002; Olopade and Onwuka, 2003; Olopade et al., 2005; Olopade (2006); Fernandes et al., 2008 and Olude et al., 2009) and described briefly below with some depicted in Figures 1 and 2.

Statistical analysis was carried out using the student t-test P≤0.05 and the Pearson's correlation test at P<0.05 and P<0.01.

Parameters of Orbital and Ocular Morphometry of the African Giant rat (Cricetomys gambianus, Waterhouse)

OL (Orbital length): Maximum length of the left and right orbits from rim to rim (includes maximum horizontal and maximum vertical diameters)

OC (Orbital circumference): Maximum circumference of the left and right orbits respectively from rim to rim. A twine was used to trace the orbits and the linear lengths determined

OH (Orbital height): Maximum height of the left and right orbits from the dorsal tip of the orbital rim to the ventral tip of the orbital rim.

ZGW (Zygomatic width): Maximum width across the zygomatic arches.

ICD (Intercanthi distance): Minimum distance between the medial margins of the orbits.

IO (Infraorbital foramen length): Greatest length across the left and the right infraorbital foramina.
**Results and Discussion**

The results obtained in this study are shown in Table 1.

The orbit can be considered as a conical structure with a wide opening leading into an optic canal located at the apex. The base of the orbit is formed by a plane extending from the supraorbital rim and the jugal bone of the zygomatic bone vertically and the caudal part of the infraorbital rim and the caudal part of the zygomatic bone horizontally.

The mean left orbital height, length and circumference of the AGR in our study were different from the right, however the fact that the orbital index of both sides was $0.58 \pm 0.01$ shows a proportionately increased difference of the bony orbit of the left side over the right on both axis (length and height). In contrast however, the right eyeball was slightly lower in weight and size than the left counterpart indicating that heavier eyeballs do not necessarily correspond to larger orbital osteometrics.

The right and left orbital circumferences, heights and lengths were greater in the males. However, only the right orbital length, right orbital height and the left orbital heights and intercanthi distance were statistically significant ($p<0.05$). This may be due to size differences in the skull size (Olude, 2009) which may have been caused by developmental disparities (Fernandes et al., 2008). The significantly higher intercanthi distance in males suggests that males have a wider optic view and may translate to the fact that male rats are better adapted to escape from predators since the eyes are more laterally placed in males than in females. The sexual dimorphism observed in the four parameters afore mentioned could thus serve as sex determinants in anthropological studies. Similar observations of sexual dimorphism have been reported in the skull of the African giant rat (Olude, 2009); jaw and diastemal length of Sahel goats (Olopade and Onwuka, 2009), guinea pig (Farmer and German, 2004) and in Ferrets, where the males were about 17%, 22% and 12% larger than the females in skull length, maximum skull width and minimum frontal width respectively and the variations in the measurements were generally greater for the males than the female skeleton (He et al., 2002).

The mean eyeball weight in this study was $0.16\pm0.01$ gm. This is
less than the 3.00 gm in rabbits (Gellat, 1981), 0.27 gm in the Australian hopping mouse (Notomys alexis) (Smith, 1976) and 0.99 g in guinea pigs (Latimer, 1951), but greater than the 0.014-0.024 g in different strains of mice (Zhou and Williams 1999). The eyeball to body ratio was 1:4561 and can be used as comparative data with 1:130 in Notomys alexis, 1:1160 in albino rat (Sprague-Dawley) and 1: 1300 in an albino mouse (CLS-MON) (Smith, 1976).

The right and left antero-posterior eyeball circumference and the right mediolateral eyeball circumference were greater in males than in females but this did not translate into weight and eyeball weights between the sexes for the left and right eyeballs were similar.

There appears to be a proportional osteometric dimensional changes in the right and left orbit as evidenced by the moderately positively correlation of the ROI against LOI.

This study presents morphometrics of the orbit and eyes of the African giant rat (Cricetomys gambianus, Waterhouse) and shows the presence of sexual dimorphism and symmetrical variations. Findings in this study will be useful in studies of the structure of the visual system of this rodent, anthropological studies and form part of the continuing effort to document various aspects of the anatomy of the African giant rat.
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Table (1) Showing measurements of parameters on the eyeball and the bony orbit of the African Giant Rat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>OVERALL MEAN</th>
<th>FEMALE</th>
<th>MALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOL (cm)</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROL (cm)*</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC (cm)</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.09</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC (cm)</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.09</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOH (cm)*</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROH (cm)*</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZGW (cm)</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD (cm) *</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIO (cm)</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIO (cm)</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEW (gm)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REW (gm)</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEC/ AP (cm)</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.00</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEC/ ML (cm)</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC/ AP (cm)</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC/ ML (cm)</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI**</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parameters ICD, LOH, ROH, ROL were statistically significant between the sexes P< 0.05
** LOI significantly correlated positively at 0.05 against ROI.
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Abstract

A total of 30 indices were estimated in the mandible, maxilla and frontal bones of 30 adult and 12 young camels in Nigeria. The mandibular length was over 38cm while diastemal length was slightly over 6cm. The thickness of the mandibular body was significantly lower in the young camels compared to the adult. Various osteometric landmarks that will aid the tracking of mental, mandibular and supraorbital nerves were reported with no significant differences observed in most mandibular indices in adult camels between sexes and between camels in the different geographical locations studied. However, significant differences were found in all indices studied between young and adult camels. The craniometric information provided in this study will be important for camel handlers, camel clinicians and particularly for the regional anaesthesia of the head region.
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Introduction

Craniometric studies of the skull of different animal species continue to be a growing area of applied research. The values obtained from such studies apart from being important in osteoarcheological fields (Parés et. al, 2010), determination of sexual dimorphism (Onar et al., 2001), basic anatomy (Saber, 1990; Olopade and Onwuka 2005a), evolutionary and adaptive studies (Evans and McGreery, 2006) can also be important in the fields of morphophysiology of mastication (Terai et. al., 1998) and in regional anesthetic applications.

Fig (1): Outline of the lateral view of the skull of African Giant rat showing: OL bony orbital length; OH bony orbital height.

Fig (2): Outline of the dorsal view of the skull of African Giant rat showing: ICD intercanthi distance; ZGW zygomatic width distance.